## Course Evaluation – Spring 2019

Page 1 of 3 Instructor: XXXXX, XXXXXX

XXXX 2 (XXXXXX) Course:

CRN: 100XX Section: 1

Of the 24 students enrolled, 6 responded. Response Rate = 25%

Course	Number Responding / Percentage of Respondents						
	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Coll. Avg.
CQ1: The workload and pace were appropriate for the course level	0 0.0%	1 16.67 %	1 16.67 %	1 16.67 %	3 50%	4	4.01
CQ2: This course helped me consider connections between course material and other areas of my personal, academic, or professional life.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.67 %	1 16.67 %	4 66.67 %	4.5	4
CQ3: This course challenged me to think critically about the subject.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 33.33 %	4 66.67 %	4.67	4.11
CQ4: This course helped me to communicate clearly about the subject.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 50%	3 50%	4.5	3.93
CQ5: This course provided appropriate knowledge in this subject area.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 50%	3 50%	4.5	4.18
CQ6: The course assignments reflected what was covered in the course.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.67 %	5 83.33 %	4.83	4.21
CQ7: The course stimulated my interest in the subject.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.67 %	5 83.33 %	4.83	3.84
*CQ8: Overall, this course was"	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 50%	3 50%	4.5	4.05
Key 1= Strongly Disagree 2= Disagree 3=Neutral 4=Agree 5=Strongly Agree  *Key 1= Very Poor 2= Poor 3=Acceptable 4=Good 5=Very Good							

## Course Evaluation – Spring 2019

XXXXX, XXXXXX Page 2 of 3 Instructor:

XXXX 2 (XXXXXX) Course:

CRN: 100XX Section: 1

Of the 24 students enrolled, 6 responded. Response Rate = 25%

Instructor	Number Responding / Percentage of Respondents						
	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Coll. Avg.
IQ1: The instructor was effective in communicating the content of the course.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.67 %	3 50%	2 33.33 %	4.17	4.02
IQ2: The instructor was fair in evaluating student performance.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.67 %	5 83.33 %	4.83	4.11
IQ3: The instructor was well prepared for class meetings.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 33.33 %	4 66.67 %	4.67	4.24
IQ4: The instructor made effective use of class time.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.67 %	1 16.67 %	4 66.67 %	4.5	4.15
IQ5: The instructor was accessible during office hours.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.67 %	1 16.67 %	4 66.67 %	4.5	4.04
IQ6: The instructor clearly articulated their expectations for students in the course.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.67 %	5 83.33 %	4.83	4.15
IQ7: The instructor provided guidance for understanding course exercises/assignments.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 50%	3 50%	4.5	4.04
IQ8: The instructor provided timely feedback on exams/papers/performance.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 33.33 %	4 66.67 %	4.67	3.98
IQ9: The instructor provided constructive feedback on exams/papers/performance.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 33.33 %	4 66.67 %	4.67	3.92
IQ10: The instructor created a welcoming and inclusive classroom environment.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 33.33 %	4 66.67 %	4.67	4.17
*IQ11: Overall, the instructor was"	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.67 %	5 83.33 %	4.83	4.16
Key 1= Strongly Disagree 2= Disagree 3=Neutral 4=Agree 5=Strongly Agree  *Key 1= Very Poor 2= Poor 3=Acceptable 4=Good 5=Very Good							

Instructor: Course: CRN:	XXXXX, XXXX XXXX 2 (XXX 100XX		1	Page 3 of 3			
Of the 24 stude	nts enrolled, 6	responded.	Response R	ate = 25%			
		Op	oen-ended re	sponses			
What elements of the course most contributed to your learning?							
Participation****	Learning the v	erb tenses. *	*******Lecture	es.			
What improvements to the course would you recommend?							
N/A****More wo	rk on speaking	the languag	je. ******				
Do you have any other comments?							
N/A****Really a	ppreciated his	willingness to	o work with st	udents.******			