

Course Evaluation – Spring 2019

Instructor: XXXXX, XXXXX
 Course: XXXX 2 (XXXXXX)
 CRN: 100XX Section: 1

Of the 24 students enrolled, 6 responded. Response Rate = 25%

Course	Number Responding / Percentage of Respondents						
	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Coll. Avg.
CQ1: The workload and pace were appropriate for the course level	0 0.0%	1 16.67 %	1 16.67 %	1 16.67 %	3 50%	4	4.01
CQ2: This course helped me consider connections between course material and other areas of my personal, academic, or professional life.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.67 %	1 16.67 %	4 66.67 %	4.5	4
CQ3: This course challenged me to think critically about the subject.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 33.33 %	4 66.67 %	4.67	4.11
CQ4: This course helped me to communicate clearly about the subject.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 50%	3 50%	4.5	3.93
CQ5: This course provided appropriate knowledge in this subject area.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 50%	3 50%	4.5	4.18
CQ6: The course assignments reflected what was covered in the course.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.67 %	5 83.33 %	4.83	4.21
CQ7: The course stimulated my interest in the subject.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.67 %	5 83.33 %	4.83	3.84
*CQ8: Overall, this course was..."	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 50%	3 50%	4.5	4.05
Key	1= Strongly Disagree 2= Disagree 3=Neutral 4=Agree 5=Strongly Agree						
*Key	1= Very Poor 2= Poor 3=Acceptable 4=Good 5=Very Good						

Instructor: XXXXX, XXXXX
 Course: XXXX 2 (XXXXXX)
 CRN: 100XX Section: 1

Of the 24 students enrolled, 6 responded. Response Rate = 25%

Instructor	Number Responding / Percentage of Respondents						
	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Coll. Avg.
IQ1: The instructor was effective in communicating the content of the course.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.67%	3 50%	2 33.33%	4.17	4.02
IQ2: The instructor was fair in evaluating student performance.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.67%	5 83.33%	4.83	4.11
IQ3: The instructor was well prepared for class meetings.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 33.33%	4 66.67%	4.67	4.24
IQ4: The instructor made effective use of class time.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.67%	1 16.67%	4 66.67%	4.5	4.15
IQ5: The instructor was accessible during office hours.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.67%	1 16.67%	4 66.67%	4.5	4.04
IQ6: The instructor clearly articulated their expectations for students in the course.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.67%	5 83.33%	4.83	4.15
IQ7: The instructor provided guidance for understanding course exercises/assignments.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 50%	3 50%	4.5	4.04
IQ8: The instructor provided timely feedback on exams/papers/performance.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 33.33%	4 66.67%	4.67	3.98
IQ9: The instructor provided constructive feedback on exams/papers/performance.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 33.33%	4 66.67%	4.67	3.92
IQ10: The instructor created a welcoming and inclusive classroom environment.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 33.33%	4 66.67%	4.67	4.17
*IQ11: Overall, the instructor was..."	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.67%	5 83.33%	4.83	4.16
Key	1= Strongly Disagree 2= Disagree 3=Neutral 4=Agree 5=Strongly Agree						
*Key	1= Very Poor 2= Poor 3=Acceptable 4=Good 5=Very Good						

Instructor: XXXXX, XXXXX Page 3 of 3
Course: XXXX 2 (XXXXXX)
CRN: 100XX Section: 1

Of the 24 students enrolled, 6 responded. Response Rate = 25%

Open-ended responses

What elements of the course most contributed to your learning?

Participation****Learning the verb tenses. *****Lectures.

What improvements to the course would you recommend?

N/A****More work on speaking the language. *****

Do you have any other comments?

N/A****Really appreciated his willingness to work with students.*****